

Current Law Case Citator 2002

Current Law Case Citator 2002: A Retrospective Look at Legal Research Tools

The legal landscape is constantly evolving, and staying abreast of the latest case law is crucial for legal professionals. In 2002, legal research relied heavily on print materials and early digital tools. Understanding the role of a **current law case citator** in that era offers valuable insight into the evolution of legal technology and the challenges faced by researchers. This article delves into the significance of case citators in 2002, examining their functionality, benefits, limitations, and their impact on legal research practices. We will also explore related concepts like **Shepard's Citations**, **key number systems**, and the transition to more advanced **online legal research databases**.

Understanding Case Citators in 2002

A case citator, in 2002 as now, serves as a crucial tool for verifying the continuing validity of a legal case. It tracks subsequent judicial opinions that cite a particular case, revealing whether that precedent has been affirmed, overturned, questioned, or otherwise affected by later rulings. This validation process, critical for accurate legal analysis, involved significant manual effort in 2002, relying heavily on print versions of citators like Shepard's Citations. These hefty volumes, updated regularly, contained extensive listings of cases and their subsequent treatment. The absence of readily available online access meant researchers spent considerable time physically navigating these volumes to locate relevant information. This process was time-consuming and demanded meticulous attention to detail. **Legal**

research methodology in that era was significantly shaped by the limitations and strengths of these print-based citators.

Benefits of Using a Current Law Case Citator in 2002

Despite their limitations, print case citators offered several key advantages in 2002:

- **Thoroughness:** Print citators often boasted a comprehensive coverage of case law, including state and federal courts. The sheer volume of information contained within them ensured a relatively high chance of discovering relevant subsequent citations.
- **Reliability:** Established publishers like Shepard's had a reputation for accuracy and reliability. The rigorous editorial processes ensured a high level of confidence in the cited information.
- **Independent Verification:** Citators allowed for independent verification of case validity, reducing the reliance on potentially biased sources. This was crucial for maintaining the integrity of legal arguments.
- **Understanding Case History:** Beyond simply indicating whether a case was still good law, citators provided context. Researchers could understand the evolution of a legal principle by examining the history of subsequent citations and interpretations.

Challenges and Limitations of 2002 Case Citators

While beneficial, the 2002 approach to case citation had significant drawbacks:

- **Time Consumption:** Manually searching through print volumes was undeniably time-consuming, slowing down the research process.
- **Accessibility:** Access to a comprehensive set of citators required substantial investment in physical space and resources. Not all researchers had equal access to these

vital tools.

- **Lack of Integration:** Print citators were standalone resources. They weren't integrated with other legal research databases, making the research process fragmented.
- **Limited Search Functionality:** Searching for information within a print citator relied on indexes and manual browsing, making it difficult to find specific information quickly.

Transition to Online Legal Research Databases

The early 2000s witnessed the beginning of a significant shift towards online legal research databases. These databases offered a more efficient and accessible alternative to print citators. While print resources like Shepard's remained important, online platforms started to incorporate citator functionality, offering enhanced search capabilities and immediate access to updated information. This transition dramatically improved the speed and efficiency of legal research, transforming the **legal research process** significantly. The integration of citator functionalities within broader online platforms eliminated the fragmented research experience of the pre-digital era.

Conclusion

The current law case citator of 2002, primarily represented by print resources like Shepard's, played a vital role in legal research. While lacking the speed and integration of modern online tools, they provided a comprehensive and reliable means of verifying case validity and understanding their subsequent treatment. The transition to online platforms represents a significant leap forward in legal technology, but understanding the limitations and strengths of the older print-based systems offers valuable context for appreciating the advancements in legal research tools and techniques. The legacy of these early citators lies not only in their function but also in their contribution to the evolution of more sophisticated legal research methodologies.

FAQ

Q1: What were the main differences between print and online case citators in 2002?

A1: The primary difference lay in accessibility and speed. Print citators were physical volumes requiring manual searching, which was time-consuming and limited search capabilities. Online databases, while still in their early stages in 2002, offered faster searching through keyword searches and electronic access to updated information, though access was not universally available.

Q2: How did Shepard's Citations function in 2002?

A2: Shepard's Citations, the dominant print citator, used a system of symbols to indicate how subsequent cases had treated a cited case (e.g., affirmed, reversed, distinguished, questioned). Researchers would manually consult the Shepard's volume for the cited case and follow the references to understand its subsequent history.

Q3: Were there any alternative case citators to Shepard's in 2002?

A3: While Shepard's was the most prominent, other citators existed, primarily in print form, offering similar functionality but perhaps with different coverage or organizational structures. Their market share, however, was significantly smaller than Shepard's.

Q4: How did the use of case citators impact legal arguments in 2002?

A4: Proper use of case citators was crucial for supporting legal arguments. By demonstrating the continued validity of cited precedents, lawyers could bolster their claims and avoid reliance on overruled or questioned cases. The meticulous nature of citation verification in 2002 emphasized the importance of accurate and thorough legal research.

Q5: What role did key number systems play alongside case citators?

A5: Key number systems, like West's Key Number System, provided a subject-matter classification of legal topics. They acted as a complementary research tool, enabling researchers to find cases related to specific legal issues, often used in conjunction with case citators to verify the continued relevance of those cases.

Q6: How did the transition to online databases affect legal research costs?

A6: The transition initially increased costs for some firms as they had to invest in online subscriptions. However, the long-term cost savings from increased efficiency and reduced research time likely outweighed the initial investment, making online research more cost-effective in the long run.

Q7: What were some of the ethical considerations related to using case citators in 2002?

A7: The ethical considerations centered on the responsibility to conduct thorough research and accurately represent the status of cited cases. Failing to properly consult a citator and relying on outdated or invalid precedents could be viewed as unethical legal practice.

Q8: How did the development of online citators impact legal education in the years following 2002?

A8: The increased availability and efficiency of online citators significantly impacted legal education by allowing law students to develop proficiency in using advanced legal research tools. It also allowed for more time to focus on legal analysis and critical thinking rather than solely on manual research techniques.

Navigating the Legal Landscape: A Deep Dive into Current Law Case Citator 2002

The year is 2002. The online world is expanding with opportunity, and the legal industry is no different. For legal professionals, effective access to case law is paramount. This is where the Current Law Case Citator 2002 arrives onto the stage, a tool

designed to simplify the complex process of legal citation verification. This exploration will delve into the features, functionality, and relevance of this important legal resource from a bygone era, offering insightful perspectives for both current and past legal research.

The primary purpose of the Current Law Case Citator 2002 was to locate and validate citations to reported cases. Imagine searching through towering stacks of case books – a arduous task. The Citator, however, gave a bypass. By inputting a case citation, users could access information on following cases that have cited the initial case. This vital information allowed lawyers and researchers to assess the continuing relevance of the precedent.

The Citator's strength lay in its capacity to track the trajectory of a case. It didn't just list citations; it categorized them, indicating whether a case had been affirmed, reversed, or differentiated. This critical distinction helped users understand the influence of the primary case on subsequent legal judgments. This capability was invaluable in establishing the present status of a legal principle.

One could analogize the Current Law Case Citator 2002 to a extensive network of interconnected cases. Each case was a junction in this network, and the Citator acted as the navigator, showing the links between them. This representation of legal precedent was a significant advancement in legal research.

The design of the Current Law Case Citator 2002 likely changed depending on the system it was accessed on. While specific details are limited, we can assume it was a text-based system, typical for the time. This suggested that proficiency in navigating such systems was necessary for effective usage. The lack of a visual user design offers a clear contrast to current legal tools, which typically boast intuitive interfaces.

Despite its shortcomings in comparison to current tools, the Current Law Case Citator 2002 represented a substantial leap in the progress of legal tools. It cleared the way for the sophisticated legal databases we use today. Its legacy lives in the essential principles of legal citation verification and the importance of tracking case development.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

Q1: Where can I access the Current Law Case Citator 2002 today?

A1: Accessing the 2002 version is unlikely. Several older legal databases have been retired or are no longer updated. You may need to contact specialized legal archives or libraries.

Q2: How does the 2002 Citator compare to modern legal research tools?

A2: Modern tools offer significantly improved capabilities, including graphical user interfaces, linked searching across multiple databases, and more advanced analysis capabilities.

Q3: What were the key limitations of the Current Law Case Citator 2002?

A3: Key limitations possibly included a narrow scope of content, a text-based interface, and absence of sophisticated search and analysis functions.

Q4: What is the lasting impact of the Current Law Case Citator 2002?

A4: It proved the importance of automated legal citation verification, paving the way for the creation of current legal research databases.

[biofluid mechanics an introduction to fluid mechanics
macrocirculation and microcirculation biomedical engineering
2009 ford f 350 f350 super duty workshop repair manual
freemasons na illuminant diraelimuspot
workshop manual vx v8
104 biology study guide answers 235475
american vision guided 15 answers
autotech rl210 resolver manual
service manual for 1964 ford
kunci chapter 11 it essentials pc hardware and software
honda cb 125 manual
mini one r53 service manual](#)

[polaris sportsman x2 700 800 efi 800 touring service repair manual 2008](#)